Liquid Waste Permit Number: 1402-0014

## LIQUID WASTE SYSTEM EVALUATION To be completed by Third Party Evaluator Septic Tank Elevation(Feet) Date of Evaluation: Longitude (DDD ddddd°) Mapufacturer of Tank Latitude (DD.ddddd°) LOCATION Material Concrete) Other Fiberglass Size (gallons) 1000) 1200 1500 Other: Plastic Year Tank Manufactured SIZE and Note: MATERIALS Handle on Effluent Filter? (Required 2013) Covers Secure? Tank Depth (Top of Tank to ground surface) Effluent Filter: (Required 2005) Not Required NO Access Risers - Inlet & Outlet (1849 'd 2005) YES Not Required YES NO Does Tank appears Level? (Circle One) YES NO (Not Required) ACCESS RISERS Water Level in Tank at Outlet (Circle One) NO YES How many Gallons were pumped for this Below Invert Above Invert (At Invert) **FUNCTIONALITY** Baffle Wall (Circle One) evaluation? NOT OK Gallons Outlet Tee/Baffle (Circle One) (OK) NOT OK Inlet Tee/Battle (Circle One) OK Note NOT OK OK) Exposed Rebar/Wire Tank/Manhole Deformed Note Note Exposed Aggregate Rust Streaks Excessive Deterioration VISIBLE Structural Cracking Setbacks to Public Water Well (100 ft) DESCRIPTORS (Circle All that Apply) Notes: Setbacks to Neighbor's Well (50 ft) Met Not Met Unable to Confirm (N/A) Met Not Met Unable to Confirm N/A Setbacks to On-site Water Well (50 ft) Distance: Met Not Met Unable to Confirm N/A SEPTIC TANK Feet Setbacks to Disposal System Distance: SETBACKS To Property Lines, Structures, Waterlines Met Not Met Unable to Confirm N/A 100 f Met Not Met Unable to Confirm N/A Feet Distance: Sethacks: State Waters, Arroyos, Ditches Met Not Met Unable to Confirm N/A Pumping Records Available? Appears to be Vatertight? NO High Level Alarm working properly? YES N/A (YES) HOLDING TANK Note any Problems, Concerns or Comments: Disposal System Chambers Synthetic Aggregate Pipe and Gravel Elevated System with Lift Station Trench Conventional Leaching Bed Wisconsin Mound ET Bed Gray Water System Drip System TYPE OF Seepage Pit Bottomless Sand Filter Sand-lined Trench Soil-Replacement DISPOSAL Elevated System with Pressure-Dosing Alternative/ SYSTEM Low-pressure Dosed Split-Flow Other Circle ALL that apply Vault Privy Constructed Wetlands Other: Access to D-Box? (Required 2013) Watertight & Equal Distribution of Flow? Is therea D-Box on this system? NO YES MABLE TO CONFIRM DISTRIBUTION NO YES YES (NO) UNABLE TO CONFIRM BOX Other Method? YES Approximately how many Gallons of water Did you Probe Disposal Field Area? INSPECTION Describe: added for Hydraulic Water Test? (YES METHODS & NO Gallons Added: 100 **OBSERVATIONS** Lush Vegetation Present? Any Indication of Previous Eailure? Seepage Visible on Lawn? NO YES NO (NO YES YES Even Distribution of Effluent in Field? Any Septic Odor Present? Evidence of Ponding Water in Field? (NO) YES NO N/A UNABLE TO CONFIRM YES NO N/A UNABLE TO CONFIRM YES Setbacks to Public Water Well (200 ft) Met Not Met Unable to Confirm N/A Setbacks to Neighbor's Well (100 ft) Setbacks to On-site Water Well (100 ft) DISPOSAL SYSTEM Met Not Met Unable to Confirm N/A Met Not Met Unable to Confirm Distance: Feet Sathacks: State Waters, Arroyos, Ditches SETBACKS Distance: Feet Distance: To Property Lines, Structures, Waterlines Sethacks to Septic Tank Met Not Met Unable to Confirm N/A (Met Not Met Unable to Confirm Not Met Unable to Confirm N/A FUNCTIONALITY If proprietary product, was system installed in accordance with manufacturer's Does the Disposal System Appear to be specifications and permit design? Functioning Property? YES Unable to Confirm Note any Problems, Concerns or Comments:

No Servey Alassins to Confirm Stracks

Liquid Waste Permit Number:

		Circle One					
O- Cita I	Liquid Waste System Evaluation Summary  Viscot provided a sketch of the floor plan of all structures which	30 30 30 L	NO				
	Has the applicant provided a sketch of the floor plan of all structures which	1/					
	Has the applicant provided a sketch of the reor partial territory identifies all rooms (including bedrooms & kitchens)?	VEC	NO	N/A			
TTACHED (Required)	clearly identifies an rooms	YES	NO	14/12			
	Is the address listed on this permit the same as the current address?	U	210	N/A			
DDRESS	Is the Design Flow listed on the permit the same as what currently exists for	YES	NO	14/74			
ON!	Is the Design Flow listed on the permit the same as what carrying	V		21/4			
DESIGN FLOW	this property?	YES	NO	N/A			
	this property?  Is the Lot Size listed on the permit the same as the current lot size for this	V					
LOT SIZE	Is the Bot Size new?	YES	NP	N/A			
	property?  Are other liquid waste systems on this property properly permitted?		U				
OTHER LW SOURCES	Were permit numbers provided?	YES	NO	N/A			
	Were permit numbers provided?  Is the septic tank/treatment unit watertight and functioning properly?	V					
SEPTIC TANK	Is the septic tank deathers	YES	NO.	N/A			
	Does the disposal system appear to be functioning properly?	V					
DISPOSAL SYSTEM	Does the disposar of the first	YES	NO	N/A			
	Does the system appear to meet all required setbacks and clearances?	1	600, 50				
SETBACKS and	Does the system appear to meet all required for Confirm	YES	NO	N/A			
CLEARANCES	Does the system have a current Maintenance Contract? Attach a Copy	1 120		1			
ADVANCED	Does the system have a current manner	YES	NO	N/A			
TREATMENT	Has the system been sampled and monitored in accordance with permit	IES	110	N/A			
SYSTEMS	Has the system been sampled and monitoring	7.000	NO	N/A			
31312	conditions?  Is a Monitoring or Sampling Report attached? (Required for All ATS)	YES	NO	N/A			
	Is a Monitoring or Sampling Report attached. (1997)		110	新數學學			
	is a table system does not currently constitute a	YES	NO				
PUBLIC HEALTH and	Is it your professional opinion that this system does not currently constitute a			Ber is			
SAFETY	while health or safety hazard:	Septic Tank	n				
EVALUATOR RECOMMENDATION Circle All that Apply	Septic Tank is Functioning Properly  Disposal System Needs Replacement/Expansion or Repairs  ATS is Functioning Properly  ATS Needs Replacement, Maintenance or Repairs						
	roblems, Concerns, Comments etc.:  re required <u>and</u> any Repairs that were completed:						
	ed in this report is correct and true to the best of my knowledge.	m/	11				
The information contain	ea m this report is correct and	9/24	110				
1 Jannie 1	emancer 1)	110	te				
Evaluator'	s Name Printed Evaluator's Signature	Da					
Lvaraator							
NMED REVIEW:	the following:						
NMED has reviewed t	he information provided above and has determined the following: ermit is valid and the liquid waste system appears to be functioning properly; no	further acti	on requi	ired			
The Liquid Waste P	ermit is valid and the liquid waste system appears to be functioning property, no	days of th	is evalu	ation			
A Modification Per	ermit is valid and the liquid waste system appears to be functioning property, not mit is required and a complete application must be submitted to NMED within 15	ithin 15 da	vs of thi	report			
Repairs are Require	mit is required and a complete application must be submitted to NMED with the description that repairs have been completed must be submitted to NMED with the submitted to NMED with th	min is un	,	[			
Comments:	1// //						
Α.	1 III		/	1 .			
\ \ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	VIAIL B. /COM/	6	1/20/	14			
Reviewed by:	19The Door		1 1	te			
Actioned by.	NMED Staff Name Printed NMED Staff Signature		ו טפ				
		., .	ation of	ha			
Ti Latina comment	and/or individual evaluator disclaims any warranty, either expressed or implied, arising fro	m the evalu	ation of	are.			

The evaluating company and/or individual evaluator disclaims any warranty, either expressed or implied, arising from the evaluation of the wastewater system or this report.

Return completed form with all required documents to the local Environment Department Field Office

This form is valid for 180 days after the date of the signature of the Evaluator.

Liquid Waste Permit Number: TH 020014

	Adva	nced Treatment System [ ]Not	Applicable check here if not applicable		
	Auva	Maintenance Service Provider. Are you a	Qualified MSP? YES NO		
Advanced Treatment S	ystems can only be evaluated by a Qualified N Name of Manufacturer	Model/Capacity	What Level of Treatment Secondary Tertiary Disinfection		
TYPE OF ATS	Name of Manufactures		Has System been meeting treatment		
FUNCTIONALITY	Aerator is working properly?  YES NO	System appears to have been properly maintained?	levels required on permit? YES NO DON'T KNOW		
MAINTENANCE	Le there an active Maintenance &	Has a Maintenance & Monitoring event occurred within last 180 days?	Are Results of Maintenance & Monitoring Report Attached? YES NO		
	Monitoring Contract currently in effect? YES NO Name of MSP:	YES NO DON'T KNOW			
Note any Problems, C	oncerns or Comments:				
			check here if not applicable		
FUNCTIONALITY	Is pump operating properly? YES NO	Is pump above Tank floor? YES NO	High Level Alarm Works? YES NO Both Audible & Visible Alarms presen YES NO Is there a Check Valve & Purge/Vent Hole? YES NO		
	Alarms and pumps on separate circuits? YES NO	Is pump wiring protected? YES NO			
	Is there a Riser to Grade w/ Secure Lid? YES NO	Is tank watertight and structurally sound? YES NO			
Note any Problems, (	Concerns or Comments:				

Draw a Simple Sketch of the System (Include North Arrow, Location of House, Property Lines, System Components and Location of On-site and Neighboring Wetls. Also include Setback distance from House to Septic Tank)

See Affached Site Plan